Fiscal Estimate - 2013 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	emental
LRB	Number	13-3900/1		Introd	luction N	lumber	SB-558	
Collec bargai	Description Collective bargaining for public employees, prohibiting employees other than public safety employees from bargaining collectively on insurance contributions and employee required contributions to retirement, granting rule-making authority, and making appropriations							
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	Existing tions Existing	Reve	ase Existing nues ease Existing nues				
Local: ☐ No Local Government Costs ☐ Indeterminate ☐ 1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ 2. ☐ Decrease Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Decrease Revenue ☐ Counties ☐ Others ☐ School ☐ WTCS ☐ Districts ☐ Districts								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized S	Signature			Date
luws/	Adam Pfost ((608) 262-4836	;	Freda Harris	(608) 262-2	2734		2/12/2014

Fiscal Estimate Narratives UWS 2/12/2014

LRB Number	13-3900/1	Introduction Number	SB-558	Estimate Type	Original
December					

Description

Collective bargaining for public employees, prohibiting employees other than public safety employees from bargaining collectively on insurance contributions and employee required contributions to retirement, granting rule-making authority, and making appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

SB 558 permits all state employees to collectively bargain over wages, hours, and conditions of employment under the State Employment Labor Relations Act (SELRA). In addition, section 226 of the bill would create a new subchapter of Chapter 111 entitled "University of Wisconsin System Faculty and Academic Staff Labor Relations." This new subchapter would create individual collective bargaining units for the faculty and academic staff at each UW institution and would require the Board of Regents to negotiate and administer collective bargaining agreements.

In July 2008, the University of Wisconsin System submitted a fiscal estimate for 2007 AB 726. AB 726 extended collective bargaining rights for salaries, fringe benefits, hours, and conditions of employment to the faculty and academic staff of the University of Wisconsin System. The bill provided a structure for up to 31 bargaining units: one each for faculty at the13 four-year universities, one for UW Extension faculty, one for UW Colleges faculty, one each for academic staff of each of the 13 four-year universities, one for UW Extension academic staff, one for UW Colleges academic staff, and one for academic staff supervisors.

Because 2007 AB 726 contained provisions that are similar to the faculty and academic staff bargaining provisions contained in the current bill and the analysis continues to be relevant, that fiscal estimate is copied below.

There is a wide range of potential costs associated with the bill. If only one bargaining unit were created for academic staff supervisors, for example, relatively few UW System staff would be required, and a cost estimate could be as low as \$350,000 annually. Based on the State and UW System experience with classified employees under SELRA, as well as the advice of other state higher education systems that are involved in collective bargaining, it is estimated that up to a total of 38 additional staff positions could be required in the UW System if all 31 bargaining units allowed for in the bill are created. This total consists of 26 professional and 12 clerical positions.

The total cost of the additional staff, beginning with 2008-09, would be \$2.2 million per year consisting of salaries and fringe benefits for those staff members. The estimate assumes that the responsibility for negotiation and administration of these agreements remains with the Board of Regents. The estimate does not include the time commitment by deans, administrators, and other supervisors to handle grievances participate in training, and other activities related to day-to-day contract administration. The estimate also does not include support costs, including office furniture, equipment, supplies, and travel expenses.

Even though the effective date of any negotiated agreement would occur sometime well after the passage of the bill, there would be a substantial workload increase prior to commencing any negotiations to prepare for unit elections and contract negotiations. This preparation includes training of supervisory and administrative staff, preparing forms and processes for grievances, and setting up other administrative mechanisms for dealing with union representatives. The professional staff who would undertake the functions leading to the implementation of the contracts would be the same people who would assume responsibility for contract administration.

The advice of several higher educational systems experienced in collective bargaining, like the State University of New York and the California State University Systems, will be utilized in preparation for management responsibilities in: (1) unit elections including determination of employee inclusions and exclusions in bargaining units; (2) union organization activities and the conduct of elections; (3) preparation for bargaining, including significant time devoted to budget development; (4) contract negotiations; and (5) contract administration.

The bill provides for up to 31 bargaining units. Organizational activity would be undertaken and elections

would be held in each unit as soon as the bill permits. With unit elections completed and bargaining representatives selected, the UW System would need to begin to develop information, budgets, policies and management positions as preparation for negotiation of the initial contracts. Several authorities on the subject of collective bargaining concur that the first contract is the most important from both a union and management standpoint because the first contract establishes the basis for all subsequent negotiations and management-union employee interaction.

The bill designates the Board of Regents as the bargaining agent for these agreements. In that role, UW personnel will be responsible for establishing budget parameters in discussions with the Department of Administration. Additionally, UW staff will be responsible for presentation of negotiated agreements to the Legislature's Joint Committee on Employment Relations (JCOER).

About 17,000 faculty and academic staff FTE (approximately 19,000 people) would be eligible for coverage in up to 31 bargaining units under this bill. This count compares to approximately 8,000 classified UW FTE presently covered in 13 bargaining units under SELRA. In developing the fiscal estimates, it is assumed that collective bargaining activity will be initiated in all 31 statutory bargaining units. There are significant differences in faculty and academic staff practices and policies from those for classified employees among the institutions.

In a manner similar to SELRA each institution will handle day-to-day administration of collective bargaining agreements, including the processing and settlement of grievances. Therefore, the estimate assumes that a staffing pattern similar to that of classified staff under SELRA would be required for coverage of faculty and academic staff. For example, each of the four-year universities has a one-half time professional position devoted to SELRA contract administration. For faculty and academic staff, it is estimated that a minimum of an additional full-time professional staff position would be required at each of the universities.

The number of additional staff needed will depend on the outcome of unit elections on each respective campus. If any unit election is successful, at any UW institution, there will be a need for more staff at System Administration, and then also at any respective institution that has a successful election. For example, if only one comprehensive institution has faculty that elect union representation, then there would be a need for one professional staff addition on site, two additional System Administration professional staff, and one clerical staff member at System Administration. However, any of the unions created can elect to combine into any number of consolidated unions, and the final number established would determine how much staff is necessary.

The estimated costs for the UW System under this bill are based on the prevailing salary and fringe benefit levels in state service. If all 31 bargaining units possible under this bill are created, the estimate of necessary staff would be two Employment Relations staff, one Budget Planner, one IS Senior Professional Confidential, and one Legal Counsel in System Administration; one Employment Relations staff member at UW-Madison; one additional Employment Relations staff member at UW-Milwaukee; and one-full-time University Human Resources Manager position at each UW institution including the UW Colleges and UW Extension. A total of ten support positions would be needed to work with these professional staff across the UW System.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2013 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Origin	nal	Updated		Corrected		Supplemental		
LRB Numl	ber 13-390	0/1	Intro	duction Nu	ımber	SB-558		
from bargainir retirement, gra	gaining for public ng collectively on anting rule-makin	insurance cont g authority, and	ributions and I making ap	d employee ree propriations	quired con			
annualized fi		s impacts for c						
II. Annualized	d Costs:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
				Increased Co	sts	Decreased Costs		
A. State Cost	ts by Category							
	ations - Salaries a	and Fringes		\$2,192,9		\$		
(FTE Positi	on Changes)			(32.0 FT	ſE)			
State Opera	ations - Other Co	sts						
Local Assis	stance							
Aids to Indi	viduals or Organi	zations						
TOTAL S	State Costs by C	ategory		\$2,192,9	00	\$		
B. State Cos	ts by Source of	Funds						
GPR				2,192,9	900			
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-	S							
III. State Rev revenues (e.	enues - Comple g., tax increase,	te this only wh decrease in li	nen propos cense fee, c	al will increas ets.)	e or decr	ease state		
				Increased F	Rev	Decreased Rev		
GPR Taxes	S				\$	\$		
GPR Earne	ed							
FED								
PRO/PRS								
SEG/SEG-	SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Revenues					\$	\$		
		NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	CAL IMPACT				
				<u>St</u>	tate	<u>Local</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$2,192,9	900	\$		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE					\$	\$		
						I _ :		
Agency/Pre	pared By		Authorized	d Signature		Date		
UWS/ Adam Pfost (608) 262-4836 Fre				is (608) 262-27	2/12/2014			